

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/773,010	BAUGH ET AL.	
	Examiner Shane Bomar	Art Unit 3672	

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** Allowed

(1) Shane Bomar. (3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Steve Rosenblatt. (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 14 August 2006

**Time:** 2:10pm

**Type of Interview:**

- Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant     Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes     No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*None*

Claims discussed:

*23 and 24*

Prior art documents discussed:

*None*

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*See Continuation Sheet*

**Part III.**

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: I contacted Attorney Rosenblatt because claims 23 and 24 were previously cancelled in the response received on April 18, 2006, although they were inadvertently present in the After Final response. Mr. Rosenblatt agreed to have the claims cancelled via the attached Examiner's Amendment.